

REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending and stand rejected. Claims 1, 11, and 20 are amended by way of this amendment to clarify the subject matter of the invention. All pending claims, as amended, are believed to be allowable over the references cited by the Examiner as discussed below. Accordingly, a Notice of Allowance for the present application is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

Claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-23, and 26-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Goodisman.

However, as amended, each of independent claims 1, 11, and 20 generally recites locating a label in the source document, the label being *different from the text reference and is a representation of the text reference*, which is then used in generating the anchor text. As noted in the specification at paragraph [0022] and generally in amended dependent claims 4, 13, and 22, a label may be a footnote, an endnote, an entry in a bibliography, a listing in a listing of cited references. As also noted in the specification, the label may “refer to detailed references in another section of the source document, such as a detailed reference 140 in a listing of cited references, a bibliography, an endnotes section, or the like, as shown in FIG. 3.” Labels are often fold in scientific papers or articles.

In contrast, Goodisman make no mention or suggestion of such labels or any other representation to a reference different from the text reference within the source document, as generally defined in the independent claims. Rather, Goodisman only discloses the *direct* linking of the descriptive text reference to the target object. For example, as shown in FIG. 6 and described in paragraphs [0053] and [0056], links are identified and created *directly* from the text reference.

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-5, 8-14, 17-23, and 26-28 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is respectfully requested.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 6, 15 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodisman in view of Glover.

Claims 7, 16 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodisman in view of Glover and further in view of Hennings.

However, dependent claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 25, and 25 are at least allowable for the same or similar reasons as discussed above with reference to Goodisman. The secondary references Glover and Hennings do not make up for the deficiencies of Goodisman as discussed above.

Withdrawal of the rejection of dependent claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 25, and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

Applicants believe that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully request a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter accompanying this document is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 and/or any other relief is required, Applicant hereby petitions for any required relief including Extensions of Time and/or any other relief and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. **50-1217** (Order No. **GOOGP018**).

Respectfully submitted,



Jung-hua Kuo
Reg. No. 41,918
P.O. Box 3275
Los Altos, CA 94024
Telephone: (650) 988-8070
Facsimile: (650) 988-8090